WO IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Seychelles Organics, Inc., No. CV-11-01746-PHX-FJM Plaintiff, **ORDER** VS. John R. Rose, Defendant.

Before the court is defendant Rose and non-party AnuMed's motion for protective order (doc. 26), plaintiff's response (doc. 27), and defendant's reply (doc. 28).

In November 2006, Seychelles entered into an asset purchase agreement with the Rose Entities, under which Seychelles paid over \$7 million to acquire assets of the Rose Entities ("Acquired Business"). As part of the asset purchase agreement, Rose executed a noncompete agreement, agreeing not to participate in any business similar to the Acquired Business. After Rose violated the terms of the non-compete agreement, Seychelles filed a complaint in Utah state court seeking injunctive relief. The Utah court issued a temporary restraining order, followed by a preliminary injunction, ordering Rose to stop violating the terms of the non-compete agreement. Nevertheless, Rose continued to violate the agreement. One day before Rose was scheduled to appear to show cause as to why he should not be held in contempt, Rose filed for Chapter 13 bankruptcy protection in the United States Bankruptcy

Court for the District of Arizona. Seychelles then filed an adversary proceeding in Rose's bankruptcy case, and a trade dress infringement action in the United States District Court for the District of Utah. The parties subsequently agreed to settle all claims, whereby Seychelles agreed to dismiss the litigation and withdraw its proof of claim in exchange for Rose's agreement to the immediate entry of judgment against him in the amount of \$1 million, and the entry of a permanent injunction, prohibiting him from participating in any business in competition with the Acquired Business. As part of the settlement agreement, Seychelles agreed to forbear taking any action to collect upon the judgment so long as Rose timely complied with his obligation to pay \$180,000 over a 5-year period and "remain[ed] in compliance with the terms of the injunction." Motion, ex $F ext{ } ext{ }$ approved the settlement agreement and issued proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. We subsequently adopted the bankruptcy court's proposed findings and conclusions and granted the parties' stipulated motion for entry of final judgment and permanent injunction (doc. 6), and this case was closed. When Rose later allegedly defaulted on his payment obligations under the settlement agreement, and failed to comply with the terms of the injunction, Seychelles sent notice of termination of its forbearance obligation and began efforts to enforce the \$1 million stipulated judgment. As part of those efforts, Seychelles noticed the deposition of Rose and one of his affiliated entities, AnuMed International, LLC.

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Now before us is Rose and AnuMed's motion for protective order, seeking to quash the notices of deposition and subpoenas, arguing that the \$1 million judgment is not enforceable, the underlying debt is not currently due, and therefore the subpoenas serve to annoy, oppress, and burden Rose and AnuMed and should be quashed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1).

25

26

27

28

A final, stipulated judgment has been entered in this case (doc. 6). Rose cannot attack the validity of that final judgment by way of a motion for protective order. Under Rule 69(a), Fed. R. Civ. P., Seychelles is within its right to obtain discovery "from any person" in aid of enforcing that judgment. It is unlikely that Rose can support a claim for relief from judgment

Case 2:11-cv-01746-FJM Document 30 Filed 03/21/13 Page 3 of 3

1	under Rule 60(b), Fed. R. Civ. P. There are no allegations of mistake, surprise, newly
2	discovered evidence or fraud. Instead, Rose appears to raise challenges as to the
3	interpretation and enforceability of the settlement agreement. Any such claim must be
4	presented in a separate action.
5	IT IS ORDERED DENYING the motion for protective order (doc. 26).
6	DATED this 20 th day of March, 2013.
7	T , T 11/ 1
8	Frederick J. Martone
9	Frederick J. Martone Senior United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28